Original Article

Metabolic Changes Induced by Dapagliflozin, an SGLT2 Inhibitor, in Japanese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Treated by Oral Anti-diabetic Agents: A Randomized, Clinical Trial

Kayo Horibe, MD₁, Katsutaro Morino, MD, PhD_{1,6*}, Itsuko Miyazawa, MD, PhD_{1,8}, Sachiko Tanaka-Mizuno, PhD_{2,7}, Keiko Kondo, PhD₃, Daisuke Sato, MD, PhD₁, Natsuko Ohashi₉, MD, PhD₁, Shogo Ida, MD, PhD₁, Tsuyoshi Yanagimachi, MD, PhD₁, Masahiro Yoshimura₄, Ryuta Itoh, MD, PhD₄, Kiyoshi Murata, MD, PhD_{4,10}, Katsuyuki Miura, MD, PhD₃, Hisatomi Arima, MD, PhD₅, Yukihiro Fujita, MD, PhD₁, Satoshi Ugi, MD, PhD₁, Hiroshi Maegawa, MD, PhD₁on behalf of the SUMS-ADDIT-2 Research group

¹ Department of Medicine, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan
 ² Department of Medical Statistics, Shiga University of Medical Science, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

³ Department of Public Health, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

⁴ Department of Radiology, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

⁵ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka 814-0133, Japan

⁶Institutional Research Office, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

⁷Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine and Public Health, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

⁸Education Center for Medicine and Nursing, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan
⁹Department of Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Shiga University of Medical

Science, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

¹⁰Rakusai New Town Hospital, Kyoto 610-1142, Japan

Corresponding author: Katsutaro Morino, MD, PhD

Seta, Tsukinowa, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

Tel: +81-77-548-2222; fax: +81-77-543-3858; e-mail: morino@belle.shiga-med.ac.jp

1 ABSTRACT

 $\mathbf{2}$

Aim: We aimed to determine whether SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin treatment affects body
composition and amino acid (AA) metabolism.

5 Methods: Fifty-two overweight patients treated by oral antidiabetic agents were randomly

6 assigned to dapagliflozin (Dapa) or a standard treatment (Con) and followed for 24 weeks.

7 The primary outcome was the change in body mass (BM) between baseline and week 24.

8 Body composition, intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) content, and plasma AA concentrations

9 were examined as secondary outcomes.

10 **Results:** The change in BM was significantly larger in the Dapa than in the Con group, with a

difference in the mean change of -1.72 kg (95%CI: -2.85, -0.59; P = 0.004) between the

12 groups. Total fat mass was reduced by dapagliflozin treatment, but fat-free mass was

13 maintained. IHTG content was significantly reduced in the Dapa than in the Con (P = 0.033).

14 Changes in AAs showed small differences between the groups, but only serine concentrations

15 were significantly reduced in the Dapa. Intra-group analysis showed that positive associations

16 were observed between changes in branched chain AA concentrations and body composition

17 only in the Dapa.

18 **Conclusions:** Dapagliflozin treatment causes a reduction in BM mainly by reducing fat mass.

19 AA metabolism shows subtle changes with dapagliflozin treatment.

20

21 Keywords: sodium glucose cotransport, fat mass, muscle mass, non-alcoholic fatty liver

22 disease, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, amino acid

23 Abbreviations:

24 BM body mass

25 TBM total body mass

26	IHTG	intrahepatic triglyceride
27	SGLT	sodium-dependent glucose cotransporter
28	MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
29	MRS	magnetic resonance spectroscopy
30	NAFLD	non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
31	DEXA	dual energy X-ray absorptiometry
32	BIA	bioelectrical impedance analysis
33	OAD	oral anti-diabetic drugs
34	BCAA	branched chain amino acid

35 **1. Introduction**

36 Sodium-dependent glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors improve glycemic 37control and induce a reduction in body mass (BM)[1, 2]. Previous studies have shown a reduction in BM of approximately 2 kg after 24 weeks of administration of SGLT2 38inhibitors[3]. This reduction in BM is thought to be the result of a reduction in fat and lean 39mass[4]. The metabolic changes induced by SGLT2 inhibitors include fat oxidation due to a 40 41lack of glucose, especially in the fasting state[5]. As a result, ketone body formation has been observed in multiple clinical studies [6, 7]. Such an adaptation is partially explained by 4243glucagon[8] and fibroblast growth factor-21[9-11]. Hepatic steatosis, which is characterized by the accumulation of intrahepatic 44triglyceride (IHTG) content, is commonly found in patients with type 2 diabetes [12]. Non-45alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common cause of liver steatosis, and is a 46risk factor for liver cirrhosis and subsequent hepatic carcinoma in later life. Recently, studies 47of SGLT2 inhibitors have shown that they have a beneficial effect on NAFLD [13], but 48limited information is available for quantitative evaluation of IHTG content. 49A reduction in skeletal muscle mass by SGLT2 inhibitors might have a negative 50effect in older or lean patients with type 2 diabetes. The effects of SGLT2 inhibitor treatment 51on muscle mass vary. Some reports have shown a decrease [14-16] and others have shown no 52change[17] in skeletal muscle mass with SGLT2 inhibitor treatment. We previously reported 53that segmental muscle mass in the arms was significantly decreased by ipragliflozin in 54patients with type 2 diabetes under treatment with insulin [18]. The mechanisms underlying 55the diverse effects of SGLT2 inhibitor on skeletal mass are unclear. Caloric restriction 56acutely increases plasma amino acid concentrations[19] and stimulates amino acid 57oxidation[20]. A possible mechanism for this finding is that protein catabolism is similar to 58

fat oxidation by SGLT2 inhibitors [21]. However, little is known regarding how amino acid
metabolism changes with SGLT2 inhibitor administration.

61 Therefore, in this study, we determined the effects of dapagliflozin on BM, body 62 composition, and IHTG content in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes who were treated 63 with oral anti-diabetic agents. We also investigated how amino acid metabolism is affected by 64 administration of dapagliflozin and its relation to changes in body composition.

65

66 2. Methods

We enrolled patients in a randomized, 24-week, open-label, parallel-group,
comparative, clinical trial at Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital, Shiga, Japan,
between February 2016 and June 2017. The final date of follow-up was 20 January 2018.
This trial was registered with the UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (000020239) and the
International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (jRCTs#051180018).

72

73 2.1 Participants

The participants were outpatients with type 2 diabetes aged 20-75 years who had 74glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) values of 7.0%–10.0% (53–85 mmol/mol) and a BM index \geq 75 23 kg/m^2 . At the time of enrollment, they were all being treated with oral anti-diabetic agents 76other than SGLT2 inhibitors and had estimated glomerular filtration rates of > 457778mL/min/1.73 m². The exclusion criteria were as follows: treatment with an SGLT2 inhibitor or a loop diuretic; any contraindication for the use of dapagliflozin; severe ketosis; diabetic 79coma or precoma; a history of hospitalization within the preceding 6 months for trauma, 80 81 surgery, or infectious disease; and a history of cerebral infarction, transient ischemic attack, or orthostatic hypotension. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were amended on 15 July 82 2016 to increase the maximum age from 70 to 75 years. Additionally, patients with a history 83

of unstable angina or myocardial infarction were not excluded after 15 July 2016, as long as
they had been stable for the preceding 6 months. The nature and potential risks of the study
were explained to all of the participants, and their written informed consent was obtained.
The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.
The original protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiga University of Medical
Science on 29 September 2015, and the amended protocol was approved on 15 July 2016
(R2015-086).

91

92 2.2 Study design

After confirming that each patient satisfied the inclusion criteria and did not have any of the exclusion criteria, the principal investigator registered the patients in the research registry. Eligible patients were randomized to either the dapagliflozin (Dapa) or control groups at a 1:1 ratio, and the patients' sex was used as a stratification factor. A web-based, password-protected, randomization system based on a computer-generated random sequence was used. Patient randomization was performed by the clinical research development office, which was independent of the investigators.

100 The participants in the Dapa group took 5 mg of dapagliflozin orally once daily after breakfast for 24 weeks, and no dose adjustments were made during the trial. To prevent 101 102 hypoglycemia at the initiation of dapagliflozin administration, the patients' sulfonylurea dose 103was reduced in accordance with the treatment guidelines as follows: for patients taking > 2mg/day of glimepiride, the dose was reduced to $\leq 2 \text{ mg/day}$; for patients taking > 1.25104 mg/day of glibenclamide, the dose was reduced to ≤ 1.25 mg/day; and for patients taking > 105106 40 mg/day of gliclazide, the dose was reduced to \leq 40 mg/day. Patients in the control group 107continued their previous treatments and were allowed to add anti-diabetic agents other than 108 SGLT2 inhibitors during the 24-week study period. In both groups, the treatment target was

an HbA1c value < 7.0% without hypoglycemia. Detailed protocols for the treatment of
patients with hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia are provided in Appendix S1.

The primary outcome was the change in total BM (TBM) between baseline and 24 111 weeks. The secondary outcomes were as follows: changes in body fat mass and fat-free mass 112changes in bone mineral density as measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry 113(DEXA); changes in subcutaneous fat mass at the umbilicus, visceral fat mass at the 114115umbilicus, liver volume, and iliopsoas surface area as measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); changes in IHTG content as measured by ¹H-magnetic resonance 116 117spectroscopy (MRS); and changes in fat mass and lean body mass as measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). We also evaluated the changes in serum aspartate 118 aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose, insulin, ferritin, 119120ketone body, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, and remnant-like particle-cholesterol concentrations in the two groups. We measured 36 amino acids 121(threonine, valine, methionine, isoleucine, leucine, phenylalanine, histidine, tryptophan, 122lysine, asparagine, aspartic acid, serine, glutamic acid, glutamine, proline, glycine, alanine, 123124tyrosine, arginine, cystine, taurine, citrulline, ornithine, α -amino butyric acid, sarcosine, α amino adipic acid, cystathionine, γ -amino β -hydroxybutyric acid, γ -amino butyric acid, 125homocysteine, hydroxylysine, hydroxyproline, β-alanine, β-aminoisobutyric acid, 3-126methylhistidine, and 1-methylhistidine) and changes in blood concentrations of total, 127128essential, non-essential, and branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) in both groups. Safety-related variables included hypoglycemic episodes, standard laboratory analysis 129findings, physical examinations, and vital signs. Each variable was measured after 0, 2, 8, 16, 130131and 24 weeks of treatment, except for remnant-like particle-cholesterol, DEXA and MRI variables, and amino acids, which were measured after 0 and 24 weeks of treatment. 132

133

134 2.3 Body mass and composition

The BM and composition of each participant were measured using BIA (MC-780A; Tanita, Japan), and the participants wore hospital gowns. Measurements were performed after 0, 2, 8, 16, and 24 weeks of treatment after an 8- to 16-h fast. Segmental body composition values were calculated by multiple electrodes with different current frequencies. Fat and muscle mass in the arms, lower limbs, and trunk were determined. DEXA (GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA) was also performed after 0 and 24 weeks of treatment to determine whole-body and segmental body composition.

142

143 *2.4 MRI and MRS*

Subcutaneous and visceral fat areas at the level of the umbilicus, and the iliopsoas 144surface area were measured after 0 and 24 weeks of treatment using an MR scanner (Signa 145Excite HDxt 3.0T; GE Healthcare Japan, Tokyo, Japan). This examination was only 146performed in subjects who provided additional consent. Participants with an extreme BM, 147claustrophobia, pacemaker, or any metal implant with the potential to cause damage in a 3-T 148MR scanner were excluded from this additional assessment for safety reasons. A three-149dimensional workstation (Aquarius iNtuition; TeraRecon Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) was 150used with a semi-automatic segmentation tool. IHTG content was quantified in a 3-cm³ voxel 151in the posterior segment of the right lobe of the liver using point resolved spectroscopy, as 152previously described [22]. Briefly, nine lipid signals (Lip9, 13, 16, 21, 23, 28, 41, 43, and 15352&53) were identified in the human liver ¹H-MRS spectra using the LC-model (Version 6.3-1541B, Stephen Provencher, Oakville, ON, Canada). Peak assignments were made on the basis 155of published data [23]. The lipid mass was calculated using Eq. (1): 156Linid mass $-1/2 \times (\text{Lin} 13 + 16 + 21 + 23 + 28 + 41 + 43) \times 14$ 157

157 Lipid mass =
$$1/2 \times (Lip13 + 10 + 21 + 23 + 28 + 41 + 43) \times 1$$

158

 $+ (Lip52\&53) \times 13$

159	$+ 1/3 \times (Lip09) \times 15$	
160	$+ 1/3 \times (Lip09) \times 12$	
161	$+ 2/3 \times (Lip09) \times 16$ (1)	
162	IHTG (%) was calculated relative to water mass using Eq. (2):	
163	IHTG = lipid mass / (lipid mass + $1/2 \times I$ water $\times 18$) $\times 100$ (2)	
164	All of the tests were performed after fasting on separate dates within 2 weeks of the 0-and 2	4-
165	week time points. Unless an emergency occurred, the results were not revealed to	
166	investigators until the database had been locked.	
167		
168	2.5 Amino acid analysis	
169	The amino acid profile was evaluated in overnight fasting samples using liquid	
170	chromatography-mass spectrometry at 0 and 24 weeks. A 5-mL blood sample was collected	l
171	in a blood collection tube containing EDTA-2Na. The collected samples were promptly	
172	centrifuged at 4° C, and the plasma was frozen at -80° C. Amino acid measurements were	
173	performed by SRL Inc. (Tokyo, Japan).	
174		
175	2.6 Statistical analysis	
176	We calculated the required sample size on the basis of the results of a study	
177	performed in Europe, which showed that the difference in BM change between the Dapa and	d
178	placebo groups was 2.08 kg [4]. The standard deviation (SD) of the BM change was 2.51 kg	5
179	in the Dapa group and 2.53 kg in the placebo group. Therefore, we calculated that 24 patien	ts
180	in each group were required for detecting a difference between the groups (power: 80%, two)-
181	sided $P = 0.05$). We assumed an attrition rate of 5%; therefore, 26 patients were required in	
182	each group.	
183	Statistical analyses were performed using SAS (ver. 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N	C,

USA) on an intention-to-treat basis. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD for continuous 184variables and n (%) for categorical variables. Differences in the baseline characteristics 185between the groups were evaluated using Student's t-test for continuous variables and 186Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables. The primary outcome, a change in TBM 187 between baseline and 24 weeks, was compared between the groups using sex-adjusted 188 analysis of covariance. The secondary outcomes were analyzed with sex-adjusted analysis of 189 190covariance or Wilcoxon's rank sum test, depending on the distribution of the variables. Changes in body weight, body composition, and ketone bodies were analyzed with a mixed 191 192model to consider multiple time points. Pearson's correlation was used to correlate changes in amino acids with changes in body composition. Subgroup analyses were performed for the 193change in BM according to baseline age, sex, BMI, HbA1c, fat mass by DEXA, lean mass by 194195DEXA; with or without metformin, and with or without DDP4 inhibitor.

196 To maintain data independence, the data analysis was performed after the database 197 was locked by two statistical analysts and an outsourcing company. P < 0.05 was considered 198 to represent statistical significance.

199

200 **3. Results**

201 *3.1 Baseline clinical characteristics of the study participants*

Eighty-one eligible patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were screened at Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital between February 2016 and June 2017, and 52 were enrolled in the present study. Figure 1 shows the study flow chart and CONSORT diagram. The participants were randomly assigned to the Dapa group (n = 26) or the control group (n =26). Fifty patients completed the study (Dapa group, n = 26; control group, n = 24). Two patients in the control group withdrew their consent before commencing the study protocol because of a personal reason and because of the diagnosis of an incidental pancreatic tumor. 209 Another patient in the Dapa group discontinued the intervention because of exanthema and hypoglycemia. One patient in the control group discontinued standard treatment because she 210was required to use a steroid and insulin for pemphigoid, but she was followed up and 211underwent BM and body composition measurements. Therefore, data from 50 patients (Dapa, 212n = 26; control, n = 24) were included in the intention-to-treat analysis. IHTG content was 213measured using ¹H-MRS in 43 patients (Dapa, n = 23; control, n = 20) as a secondary 214outcome. Table 1 shows the demographic and baseline characteristics of the study 215participants. The baseline characteristics were not significantly different between the groups, 216217except for thiazolidinedione use and the prevalence of hypertension. 2183.2 Primary outcome 219220Patients in the Dapa group showed a greater reduction in TBM than those in the control group. The mean change in TBM from baseline to 24 weeks was -2.4 ± 2.13 kg in the 221Dapa group and -0.68 ± 1.75 kg in the control group. Therefore, there was a difference in the 222reduction in TBM -1.72 kg (P = 0.004, 95% confidence interval [CI] -2.85 to -0.59) 223between the groups (Table 2). The difference in TBM became significant from 2 weeks (P =2240.002) after initiating Dapa treatment (Supplemental Figure S1). 225

	Total	Control (OAD)	Dapa group (OAD+Dapagliflozin 5 mg)	<i>P</i> -value
Number of patients	50	24	26	
Age (years)	60.9 ± 9.7	62.3 ± 6.5	59.7 ± 12.0	0.984
Gender (male/female)	32/18	16/8	16/10	0.706
Height (cm)	163.1 ± 8.3	163.2 ± 8.5	163.0 ± 8.3	0.861
Body weight (kg)	74.1 ± 13.2	73.6 ± 12.5	74.6 ± 14.1	0.778
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	27.8 ± 3.9	27.6 ± 3.8	28.0 ± 4.0	0.708
Waist circumstance (cm)	97.2 ± 10.6	97.3 ± 12.5	97.0 ± 8.8	0.683
Systolic BP (mmHg)	140.8 ± 14.8	140.0 ± 13.6	141.6 ± 15.9	0.888
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	82.2 ± 10.4	80.5 ± 11.6	83.7 ± 9.2	0.262
HbA1c (mmol/mol, %)	$\begin{array}{c} 60.70 \pm 5.59 \\ 7.70 \pm 0.51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.98 \pm 5.46 \\ 7.73 \pm 0.50 \end{array}$	60.45 ± 5.82 7.68 ± 0.53	0.592
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	80.3 ± 21.0	79.2 ± 17.0	81.3 ± 24.5	0.946
Duration of type 2 diabetes mellitus (years)	12.5 ± 8.1	13.3 ± 8.1	11.8 ± 8.1	0.502

Table 1. Demographic and baseline characteristics of the participants

Diabetes-related disease [n(%)]				
Retinopathy	10(18.0)	3(12.5)	7(26.9)	0.638
Nephropathy	21(32.5)	10(41.7)	11(42.3)	0.374
Neuropathy	9(18.0)	2(8.3)	7(26.9)	0.091
Medications, [n(%)]				
Metformin	39 (78.0)	18 (75.0)	21 (80.8)	0.623
DPP-4 inhibitor	37 (74.0)	18 (75.0)	19 (73.1)	0.877
Sulfonylurea	28 (56.0)	13 (54.2)	15 (57.7)	0.802
Thiazolidinedione	18 (36.0)	12 (50.0)	6 (23.1)	0.048
α-GI	10 (20.0)	5 (20.8)	5 (19.2)	0.887
Glinide	2 (4.0)	1 (4.2)	1 (3.8)	0.954
Anti-hypertensive medication	29(58.0)	17(70.8)	12(46.2)	0.077
Anti-hyperlipidemic medication	30(62.5)	14(60.9)	16(64.0)	0.823
Hypertension [n(%)]	28(56.0)	17(70.8)	11(42.3)	0.042
Dyslipidemia [n(%)]	36(72.0)	18(75.0)	18(69.2)	0.650
Smoking [n(%)]				
Never	22(44.0)	12(50.0)	10(38.5)	0.714
Former	16(32.0)	7(29.2)	9(34.6)	
Current	12(24.0)	5(20.8)	7(26.9)	
Current drinker [n(%)]	30(60.0)	16(66.7)	14(53.8)	0.355

Values are mean \pm SD for continuous variables. The *P* values indicate the difference between the Dapa group and the control group using the unpaired *t*-test or the chi-square test. Except for HbA1c, all of the data were obtained at enrollment. HbA1c values were measured at baseline. OAD: oral anti-diabetic agent, BP: blood pressure, eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, DPP-4: dipeptidyl peptidase-4, α -GI: alpha glucosidase inhibitor.

227 3.3 Secondary outcomes

Body composition was evaluated using DEXA. The change in fat mass was significantly 228different between the groups (control group, -0.70 vs. Dapa group, -2.32 kg, P < 0.01), but 229the change in fat-free mass was not (control group, -0.17 vs. Dapa group, -0.38 kg, P =2300.516). Approximately 88% of the change in TBM was due to a reduction in fat mass 231identified using DEXA (Supplemental Figure S2). Bone mineral density was similar in both 232233groups after 6 months of the intervention (Table 2). The change in body composition evaluated with BIA was significantly different between the groups (-1.18 kg, 95% CI -2.22 234235to -0.14, P < 0.05), similar to that in fat mass. The fat-free mass value obtained using DEXA included muscle, organs, and body water, whereas that obtained using BIA approximated 236skeletal muscle mass. Nevertheless, the change in whole-body skeletal mass measured using 237238BIA also did not differ between the groups (-0.54 kg, 95% CI -1.41 to 0.33, P = 0.220). Approximately 90% of the change in TBM could be attributed to the reduction in fat mass 239identified using BIA. Segmental skeletal mass in the legs was significantly reduced after 2 240weeks of dapagliflozin treatment (P = 0.002), but only tended to be reduced after 24 weeks in 241the Dapa group with a mixed model (Supplemental Figures S3, S4). The change in HbA1c 242values was similar in the Dapa and control groups (control group, -4.04 ± 9.29 vs. Dapa 243group, -4.01 ± 7.54 mmol/mol, respectively; P = 0.984). Liver enzyme activity tended to 244decrease more in the Dapa group than in the control group, but this difference was not 245246significant. Ketone bodies were significantly higher in the Dapa group than in the control group at 2 weeks (P = 0.024) with the mixed model (Supplemental Figure S5). Other plasma 247parameters were comparable between the groups (Table 2). The adverse events identified 248during the study are shown in Supplemental Table S1. Hypoglycemia, dehydration, urinary 249tract infection, and exanthema occurred in both groups. 250

251

252 *3.3 Magnetic resonance imaging measurements and intrahepatic triglyceride content*

- To evaluate the areas of visceral fat, subcutaneous fat, and iliopsoas muscle, MRI was performed in a subset of the participants (43/50) (Table 2). The change in the subcutaneous fat area was significantly different between the groups (-23.0 cm^2 , 95% CI -57.4 to -11.5, P = 0.046), although there was no significant difference in the visceral fat and iliopsoas muscle areas. The change in IHTG content was significantly different between the groups after 24 weeks of treatment (-6.8%, 95% CI -14.2 to 0.7, P = 0.033) (Table 2).
- 259

260 *3.4 Subgroup analysis results*

Subgroup analysis of the effect of dapagliflozin on BM loss showed no significant 261effects of baseline values including sex (P = 0.39), body mass (P = 0.45), HbA1c (P = 0.90), 262BMI (P = 0.56), fat mass by DEXA (P = 0.81), lean mass (P = 0.071), or metformin use (P = 0.071) 2630.91) (Figure 2). In patients who were taking a DPP-4 inhibitor, the difference in the BM 264change between the DAPA and control groups was -2.52 kg (95% CI -3.77 to -1.26 kg; 265n=19 and 18, respectively; P < 0.001), with a significant decrease in the DAPA group. In 266patients who were not taking a DPP-4 inhibitor, the difference in BM change between the 267DAPA and control groups was 0.05 kg (95% CI -2.63 to 2.73 kg; n = 7 and 6, respectively; P 268= 0.966). The use of dapagliflozin, with or without a DPP-4 inhibitor, resulted in a significant 269270difference in BM change (P = 0.021) (Figure 2).

		Control group (OAD)		(0.	Dapa group AD+Dapagliflozin 5 mg	Difference in changes	Р	
	Baseline	Week 24	Change	Baseline	Week 24	Change	(95% CI)	value
Body Weight (kg)	72.90 ± 12.71	72.22 ± 12.80	-0.68 ± 1.75	73.92 ± 13.91	71.52 ± 14.16	-2.40 ± 2.13	-1.72 (-2.85, -0.59)	0.004
DEXA								
Fat mass (kg)	24.72 ± 8.14	24.03 ± 7.90	$\textbf{-0.70} \pm 2.02$	25.43 ± 7.70	23.12 ± 8.28	$\textbf{-2.32} \pm 1.95$	-1.60 (-2.80, -0.41)	0.009
Fat-free mass (kg)	47.71 ± 6.93	47.33 ± 7.29	$\textbf{-0.38} \pm 1.59$	45.86 ± 9.16	45.69 ± 9.12	$\textbf{-0.17} \pm 1.17$	0.27 (-0.55, 1.08)	0.516
Bone mineral density (g/cm ²)	0.9961 ± 0.1437	0.9946 ± 0.1456	-0.0015 ± 0.0454	1.0249 ± 0.1965	1.0236 ± 0.1948	-0.0013 ± 0.0142	-0.0017 (-0.021, 0.0177)	0.864
BIA Fat mass (kg) Muscle mass (kg) MRI	$21.33 \pm 7.97 \\ 48.78 \pm 9.10$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.80 \pm 7.55 \\ 48.66 \pm 9.35 \end{array}$	-0.53 ± 2.09 -0.13 ± 1.67	$\begin{array}{c} 23.27 \pm 7.96 \\ 47.92 \pm 9.45 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.54 \pm 8.55 \\ 47.28 \pm 8.96 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -1.73 \pm 1.53 \\ -0.64 \pm 1.38 \end{array}$	-1.18 (-2.22, -0.14) -0.54 (-1.41, 0.33)	0.027 0.220
Navel subcutaneous fat area (cm ²)	311.0 (220.3, 411.5)	286.0 (230.23, 408.8)	-3.5 (-27.0, 12.0)	341.0 (240.0, 417.0)	293.0 (234.0, 351.0)	-35.0 (-68.0, 1.0)	-23.0 (-57.4, 11.5)	0.046 *
Navel visceral fat area (cm ²)	133.0 (99.3, 170.8)	142.5 (95.5, 167.5)	-4.5 (-19.3, 12.8)	135.0 (10.40, 157.0)	112.0 (91.0, 155.0)	-19.0 (-34.0, -2.0)	-12.3 (-31.1, 6.5)	0.113
Iliopsoas muscle surface area (cm ²)	23.0 (18.5, 27.5)	23.0 (17.25, 28.75)	-1.0 (-2.0, 0.0)	24.0 (20.0, 26.0)	22.0 (18.0, 26.0)	-1.0 (-2.0, -0.0)	0.5 (-1.0, 2.0)	0.602 *
HbA1c (mmol/mol, %)	$60.98 \pm 5.46 \\ 7.73 \pm 0.50$	$\begin{array}{c} 56.97 \pm 7.74 \\ 7.36 \pm 0.71 \end{array}$	-4.01 ± 7.54 -0.37 ± 0.69	$\begin{array}{c} 60.45 \pm 5.82 \\ 7.68 \pm 0.53 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 56.42 \pm 6.94 \\ 7.31 \pm 0.64 \end{array}$	-4.04 ± 9.29 -0.37 ± 0.85	-0.05 (-4.95, 4.85) -0.00 (-0.45, 0.44)	0.984
FPG (mmol/L)	8.06 ± 1.51	7.94 ± 2.03	-0.12 ± 1.56	7.98 ± 1.55	7.54 ± 1.30	-0.45 ± 1.77	-0.32 (-1.28, 0.65)	0.511
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.59 ± 0.91	4.44 ± 0.72	-0.15 ± 0.55	4.78 ± 0.78	4.88 ± 0.81	0.10 ± 0.46	0.24 (-0.05, 0.53)	0.097
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.62 ± 0.78	2.41 ± 0.74	-0.21 ± 0.46	2.69 ± 0.74	2.70 ± 0.72	0.01 ± 0.34	0.22 (-0.01, 0.45)	0.063
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.32 ± 0.29	1.37 ± 0.31	0.06 ± 0.22	1.33 ± 0.31	1.42 ± 0.37	0.09 ± 0.15	0.03 (-0.07, 0.13)	0.558
Triacylglycerol (mmol/L)	1.18 ± 0.45	1.23 ± 0.48	0.05 ± 0.46	1.53 ± 0.82	1.43 ± 1.00	-0.10 ± 0.59	-0.15 (-0.46, 0.15)	0.325
RLP cholesterol (mmol/L)	0.11 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.07	0.002 ± 0.060	0.15 ± 0.11	0.15 ± 0.15	-0.001 ± 0.089	-0.004 (-0.048, 0.040)	0.857
AST (units/L)	27.6 ± 18.4	27.3 ± 14.7	-0.3 ± 18.9	24.7 ± 10.4	22.7 ± 9.2	-2.0 ± 7.8	-1.9 (-10.0, 6.2)	0.638
ALT (units/L)	31.9 ± 23.5	32.0 ± 25.3	0.1 ± 16.6	33.8 ± 22.7	27.7 ± 18.3	-6.1 ± 14.9	-6.5 (-15.4, 2.4)	0.147
G-GTP (units/L)	42.0 ± 34.3	49.2 ± 65.7	7.1 ± 65.6	52.2 ± 65.1	42.9 ± 48.5	-9.3 ± 23.0	-17.7 (-44.7, 9.3)	0.193
Ferritin (ng/mL)	319 ± 419	224 ± 226	-75 ± 273	287 ± 242	205 ± 185	-81 ± 107	-7 (-125, 110)	0.900
Liver volume (mL)	1497 (1335, 1723)	1452 (1239, 1670)	-29 (-118, 5)	1578 (1416, 1771)	1528 (1395, 1670)	-72 (-113, 67)	60 (-52, 173)	0.961 *
IHTG (%)	19.9 (11.3, 31.0)	18.4 (10.7, 33.1)	-1.55 (-3.80, 9.78)	24.3 (15.9, 37.3)	17.7 (7.6, 31.4)	-6.3 (-12.5, -1.0)	-6.8 (-14.2, 0.7)	0.033

Table 2. Changes in parameters between baseline and week 24 in each treatment group

Values are mean \pm SD for continuous variables at baseline, 24 weeks, and for the change during this period. The least-square mean (95% confidence interval) is shown for the difference in this change between the groups. Except for the MRI and IHTG data, n = 24 for the control group and n = 26 for the Dapa group. For MRI and IHTG data, n = 20 for the control group and n = 22 for the Dapa group. The *P* values indicate the results of comparisons of the changes between the Dapa and control groups using analysis of covariance adjusted for sex. *For MRI and IHTG, the *P* values indicate the results of comparisons of changes between the Dapa and control groups using Wilcoxon's rank sum test. IHTG: intrahepatic triglyceride, DEXA: dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, BIA: bioelectric impedance analysis.

271 *3.5 Amino acid metabolism*

Fasting plasma amino acid concentrations were measured at baseline and 24 weeks 272in this study (Supplemental Table S2). Twelve amino acids (sarcosine, α -aminoadipic acid, 273cystathionine, γ -amino β -hydroxybutyric acid, γ -aminobutyric acid, homocysteine, 274hydroxylysine, hydroxyproline, β-alanine, β-aminoisobutyric acid, 3-methylhistidine, 1-275methylhistidine) were below the measurement sensitivity for most of the samples. There were 276277no significant differences in amino acids between the two groups at baseline. At 24 weeks of treatment, changes in most plasma amino acids, except for serine, showed no significant 278279differences between the control and Dapa groups (Supplemental Table S2).

280

281 3.6 Correlations between changes in amino acids and body composition

We further analyzed the correlations between changes in amino acid concentrations 282and body composition as a post-hoc explorative analysis, but we did not find a significant 283inter-group difference. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated for each group and 284are shown in a heat map (Figure 3). The change in body weight and changes in valine, 285isoleucine, leucine, and total BCAAs were positively correlated only in the Dapa group. The 286change in fat mass measured with DEXA and changes in valine, isoleucine, and total BCAAs 287were also positively correlated in the Dapa group (Figure 4). The change in fat mass 288measured with BIA and changes in valine and total BCAAs were positively correlated in the 289290Dapa group. Additionally, the change in skeletal muscle mass measured with BIA and changes in value and total BCAAs were positively correlated. However, no correlations were 291observed in the control group (Figure 4). 292

293

4. Discussion

There were three major findings in the present study. First, we showed that the addition of dapagliflozin significantly reduced BM and fat mass in patients with type 2 diabetes receiving oral anti-diabetic agents. Second, skeletal muscle mass showed no significant reduction after treatment with dapagliflozin for 24 weeks, except for an acute reduction in the legs at 2 weeks. Third, changes in the plasma amino acid profile were subtle for most of the amino acids after treatment with dapagliflozin for 24 weeks.

Dapagliflozin add-on treatment significantly reduced BM in patients with type 2 301 302diabetes taking oral anti-diabetic drugs. The difference in TBM loss between the Dapa and control groups was -1.72 kg (95% CI -2.85 to -0.59) (Table 2), which is consistent with the 303 results of previous studies that showed a reduction in BM in patients with type 2 diabetes 304305who were treated with SGLT2 inhibitors[1-3]. Dapagliflozin treatment preferentially reduced body fat, with no significant reduction in whole-body muscle mass after 24 weeks of 306 treatment (Table 2). Previous studies that used DEXA also showed that a reduction in BM 307 resulting from SGLT2 inhibitor treatment was mostly caused by a loss of fat mass, which 308 309 accounted for approximately 70% of the weight loss achieved in Caucasian patients [4, 24]. However, in the present study, 88% of the reduction in BM was attributed to a reduction in 310 fat mass (Supplemental Figure S2). Because the participants in the present study were 311312relatively old, marginally overweight (BM index: $27.8 \pm 3.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and had a typical Asian 313body composition, we used several methods to analyze their body composition. Our recent study evaluated the efficacy of ipragliflozin in patients with diabetes on insulin therapy[25]. 314 This previous study showed an 80% reduction in fat mass, but no significant change in lean 315316 body mass (DEXA) or muscle mass (BIA), with a significant reduction in muscle mass (BIA) in the arms. In the current study, we found no significant changes in fat-free mass as 317measured by both DEXA and BIA after 24 weeks of dapagliflozin treatment (Table 2). This 318

finding is consistent with the area of the iliopsoas muscle measured using MRI (Table 2).
Segmental analysis showed that muscle mass (BIA) in the legs was marginally reduced by
dapagliflozin treatment at 2 weeks (Supplemental Figure S4). Although the mechanism
underling the discrepancy between these two studies is unclear, the background of the
subjects may have affected muscle metabolism because subjects in the current study were
slightly younger than those in the previous study [25]. Further studies are necessary to
confirm long-term effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on skeletal muscle in older or lean subjects.

SGLT2 inhibitors have recently been intensively studied regarding their therapeutic 326 327potential for NAFLD and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis[16, 26]. ¹H-MRS has been the gold standard method for the quantification of ectopic fat in the liver and skeletal muscle for 328 longer than 20 years [27-29]. In this study, the Dapa group showed a significantly larger 329330 reduction in IHTG content as measured using ¹H-MRS than that in the control group (Table 2). This finding is consistent with that in recent studies that were conducted using the MRI 331liver proton density fat fraction, which is a newly developed quantitative method for the 332 quantification of IHTG using MRI[30]. 333

In the present study, whole-body fat content, assessed using BIA and DEXA, and 334intrahepatic TG, assessed using ¹H-MRS, were lower in the Dapa group, but the reduction in 335visceral fat area at the level of the navel was not statistically significant (Table 2). We believe 336 that this was the result of a technical problem. We measured the area of the subcutaneous and 337 338abdominal fat at the level of the navel using MRI instead of the total visceral fat mass using multiple slices. One recent study of the use of a CB-1R inverse agonist showed a correlation 339 between total visceral fat mass and visceral fat area in various cross-sections of the abdomen, 340 341as measured using whole-body MRI in 123 obese individuals who lost approximately 7.7 kg [31]. Although a good correlation was obtained between visceral fat area at the level of the 342navel and total visceral fat mass (r = 0.850), there was a poor correlation between the change 343

in visceral fat area at the level of the navel and the change in total visceral fat mass (r =
0.488). Moreover, in the present study, we only found an approximate 1.72 kg difference
between the two groups. Therefore, we believe that we failed to detect a significant change in
visceral fat area because of a technical issue.

In the present study, 5 mg of dapagliflozin was chosen instead of 10 mg because this 348is a common starting dose in Japan. To the best of our knowledge, little is known regarding 349 350the dose-response for the effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on body composition. A previous study of the changes in body composition during 26 weeks of treatment with 100 mg or 300 mg of 351352canagliflozin showed that BM, fat mass, and lean mass were significantly lower in both treatment groups than in the placebo group [32]. Although a direct comparison between the 353100 mg and 300 mg canagliflozin groups was not made, the data suggest that the 300 mg 354canagliflozin group tended to experience larger reductions in BM, fat mass, and lean mass 355than the 100 mg group. On average, in both groups, twice as much fat mass was thought to 356have been lost than lean mass. Thus, in the present study, we may have underestimated the 357effect of dapagliflozin on body composition. Further studies are needed to determine the 358effects of various doses of dapagliflozin on body composition. 359

360 We were particularly interested in the changes in amino acid metabolism during intervention because plasma amino acids are changed in subjects under caloric restriction 361 [19] or starvation [34]. In our study, most amino acids, except for serine, were unchanged by 362 363the treatment of dapagliflozin at 24 weeks (Supplemental Table S2). We did not see changes in amino acids possibly because BM loss was relatively mild (Table 2). We found a 364 significant increase in plasma ketone bodies, which are a marker for fat oxidation, 2 weeks 365366 after dapagliflozin treatment, but this not significant at 24 weeks (Supplemental Figure S5). Follow-up amino acid measurement was only performed at 24 weeks after intervention in this 367study. Therefore, treatment of dapagliflozin might have had some effect on amino acids at the 368

acute phase, but had a limited effect on protein catabolism after 24 weeks of intervention.
Serine serves as a substrate for gluconeogenesis [34]. SGLT-2 inhibitors increase
gluconeogenesis[35]. Therefore, decreased serine concentrations (Supplemental Table S2)
may have been due to an increased consumption of serine as a substrate for gluconeogenesis
in the Dapa group, although there was no decrease in other glycogenic amino acids such as
alanine.

375We further examine the correlations between changes in blood amino acid concentrations and BM/body composition in each group to overcome the individual variance 376 377of changes in body composition as a post-hoc analysis (Figure 3). We found that the trends were different between the Dapa and control groups. BCAAs were correlated with changes in 378 body weight and fat mass in the Dapa group, but not in the control group. Previous studies 379380 have shown that elevated levels of blood BCAAs are associated with obesity and diabetes because of insulin resistance in skeletal muscle [40, 41] and in adipose tissue [42, 43]. 381Therefore, the positive association between BCAAs and BM in the Dapa group may have 382 been due to improved insulin resistance by dapagliflozin treatment. A recent study in patients 383 with diabetes and cardiovascular disease who were administered 10 mg of empagliflozin for 3841 month showed no significant change in plasma BCAAs, but there was an increase in their 385metabolites[21]. This finding suggests that empagliflozin activates BCAA catabolism. 386 Another recent human study reported increased BCAA secretion into the urine by 387 388dapagliflozin treatment, similar to glucosuria [44]. Although we did not have the opportunity to measure amino acid concentrations in urine samples, this phenomenon may explain the 389 positive association of changes in BCAAs and the reduction in body weight in the Dapa 390 391group.

This study has three major strengths. First, a comprehensive analysis was made of body composition using DEXA, BIA, and MRI. Second, we provided evidence that SGLT2

inhibitor treatment ameliorated liver steatosis, which was established using ¹H-MRS, in
patients with type 2 diabetes. Third, amino acid metabolism was evaluated after SGLT-2
inhibitor treatment.

This study also had some important limitations. First, the number of participants was 397 relatively small, although we calculated the required number of participants to prove the 398 effect of dapagliflozin on BM. The wide heterogeneity of the sample with respect to age, 399 400 HbA1c, intrahepatic TG content, and the concomitant use of other medications might have caused type 2 errors in the interpretation of the effects of dapagliflozin on secondary 401 402outcomes. Second, the study had an open-label design, which may have introduced bias. Third, amino acid measurements were only made at the end of the intervention, which might 403 have meant that acute changes were missed. Because of these reasons, any generalization of 404 405the findings to other populations must be made with caution.

406

407 **5.** Conclusion

Twenty-four weeks of dapagliflozin add-on treatment effectively reduces BM, 408 mainly by reducing fat mass, in inadequately controlled patients with type 2 diabetes who are 409 treated with oral anti-diabetic agents. Whole-body muscle mass is maintained, but IHTG 410 content is reduced by dapagliflozin treatment. Although there was a small difference in the 411 change of plasma serine concentrations between the two groups in this study, most amino 412413acids showed subtle changes after treatment of dapagliflozin for 24 weeks. This finding suggests limited effects on skeletal muscle catabolism. Further investigations are necessary to 414confirm the long-term effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on body composition. 415

416

417 Acknowledgments

418 The authors thank the participants and the Shiga University of Medical Science Anti-

419 Diabetic Drugs Intervention Trial-2 (SUMS-ADDIT-2) investigators for their involvement in

420 this study. A complete list of members of SUMS-ADDIT-2 is provided in the Supplementary

421 Materials. We also thank Keiko Kosaka, Keiko Asano, Megumi Matsuo, Naoko Yamanaka,

422 and Ryoko Matsubae for their expert technical assistance.

We thank Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing the English text of drafts ofthis manuscript.

425

426 Funding

This work was supported by AstraZeneca and Ono Pharmaceutics. The funders had
no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of
the manuscript.

430

431 **Declarations of interest**

HM received research support unrelated to this study from Astellas Pharma Inc., AstraZeneca 432K.K., Kowa Pharmaceutical, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim, Ono Pharmaceutical, Taisho 433Toyama Pharmaceutical, Tanabe Mitsubishi, Sunstar, and Kyowa Kirin, and lecture fees from 434Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Ono Pharmaceutical, Novo Nordisk Pharma, Eli Lilly Japan, 435MSD, Daiichi Sankyo, Dainippon Sumitomo, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim, Mitsubishi 436Tanabe Pharma, Sanofi, and Takeda Pharmaceutical. K Morino received grants from Astellas 437438and Sanwa Kagaku Kenkyusho that were unrelated to this study, and a consultancy fee from Kyocera. HA received lecture fees from Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Fukuda Denshi, and Takeda 439Pharmaceutical, and consultancy fees from Kyowa Hakko Kirin. 440 No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this study are declared. 441 442

443 Author contributions

Kayo Horibe: Data curation, Writing-original draft preparation. Katsutaro Morino: 444Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Investigation, 445Writing-original draft preparation, Reviewing and Editing. Itsuko Miyazawa: Investigation, 446Writing, Reviewing and Editing. Sachiko Tanaka: Data curation and Statistical analysis. 447Keiko kondo: Data curation and Statistical analysis. Daisuke Sato: Investigation. Natsuko 448Ohashi: Investigation. Shogo Ida: Investigation. Tsuyoshi Yanagimachi: Review and Editing. 449 450Masahiro Yoshimura: MRI/MRS analysis. Ryuta Itho: MRI/MRS analysis. Kiyoshi Murata: MRI/MRS analysis, Methodology. Katsuyuki Miura: Methodology, Statistical analysis. 451452Hisatomi Arima: Methodology, Statistical analysis, Review and Editing. Yukihiro Fujita: Review and Editing. Satoshi Ugi: Conceptualization, Investigation. Hiroshi Maegawa: 453Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Review and Editing. 454

455

Figure legends

Figure 1 | Study flow chart and CONSORT diagram

ITT: intention to treat

Figure 2 | Sub-group analysis

The graph shows the change in body mass during 24 weeks of dapagliflozin treatment (red) and in the control group (blue) for each of the various subgroups. Values are mean ± SD. BL: baseline, M: male, F: female, Lo: Low, Hi: high

Figure 3 | Heat map showing Pearson's correlation coefficient between changes in amino acids and clinical parameters

A heat map shows the correlations of the changes in amino acids versus changes in weight,

fat mass (DEXA), lean body mass (DEXA), fat mass (BIA), and muscle mass (BIA). Shades of red and blue represent positive and negative correlations, respectively.

Figure 4 | Correlations between BCAAs and body compositional change

Dispersion graphs, Pearson correlations (r) and *P* values (*P*) for changes in amino acids and body compositional variables. The confidence level for ellipses is 95%. Val: valine, Leu: leucine, Iso: isoleucine, BCAAs: total branched chain amino acids, LBM: lean body mass, MUS: muscle

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Additional supplementary material may be found in the online version of this article:

- Figure S1 | Changes in body mass during the study period
- Figure S2 | Change in body composition as measured using DEXA
- Figure S3 | Segmental changes in body composition as measured using DEXA and BIA
- Figure S4 | Changes in segmental muscle mass over the study period
- Figure S5 | Change in serum ketone bodies over the study period

Table S1 | Major adverse events during the study

 Table S2 | Changes in plasma amino acid concentrations between baseline and week 24 in

 each treatment group

Appendix S1 | Detailed protocol for patients with hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia

Appendix S2 | Complete list of members of SUMS-ADDIT-2

Doc S1 | CONSORT checklist

References

[1] Shyangdan DS, Uthman OA, Waugh N. SGLT-2 receptor inhibitors for treating patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2016;6:e009417.

[2] Storgaard H, Gluud LL, Bennett C, Grøndahl MF, Christensen MB, Knop FK, et al.
 Benefits and Harms of Sodium-Glucose Co-Transporter 2 Inhibitors in Patients with Type 2
 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0166125.

[3] Vasilakou D, Karagiannis T, Athanasiadou E, Mainou M, Liakos A, Bekiari E, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159:262-74.

[4] Bolinder J, Ljunggren Ö, Kullberg J, Johansson L, Wilding J, Langkilde AM, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on body weight, total fat mass, and regional adipose tissue distribution in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with inadequate glycemic control on metformin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97:1020-31.

[5] Ferrannini E, Ramos SJ, Salsali A, Tang W, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in type 2 diabetic patients with inadequate glycemic control by diet and exercise: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Diabetes Care. 2010;33:2217-24.

[6] Daniele G, Xiong J, Solis-Herrera C, Merovci A, Eldor R, Tripathy D, et al. Dapagliflozin Enhances Fat Oxidation and Ketone Production in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2016;39:2036-41.

[7] Szekeres Z, Toth K, Szabados E. The Effects of SGLT2 Inhibitors on Lipid Metabolism.Metabolites. 2021;11.

[8] Beylot M. Regulation of in vivo ketogenesis: role of free fatty acids and control by epinephrine, thyroid hormones, insulin and glucagon. Diabetes Metab. 1996;22:299-304.
[9] Osataphan S, Macchi C, Singhal G, Chimene-Weiss J, Sales V, Kozuka C, et al. SGLT2

inhibition reprograms systemic metabolism via FGF21-dependent and -independent mechanisms. JCI Insight. 2019;4.

[10] Inagaki T, Dutchak P, Zhao G, Ding X, Gautron L, Parameswara V, et al. Endocrine regulation of the fasting response by PPARalpha-mediated induction of fibroblast growth factor 21. Cell Metab. 2007;5:415-25.

[11] Ferrannini E, Baldi S, Frascerra S, Astiarraga B, Heise T, Bizzotto R, et al. Shift to Fatty Substrate Utilization in Response to Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition in Subjects Without Diabetes and Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2016;65:1190-5.

[12] Hazlehurst JM, Woods C, Marjot T, Cobbold JF, Tomlinson JW. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes. Metabolism. 2016;65:1096-108.

[13] Li B, Wang Y, Ye Z, Yang H, Cui X, Wang Z, et al. Effects of Canagliflozin on Fatty Liver Indexes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2018;21:222-35.

[14] Koike Y, Shirabe SI, Maeda H, Yoshimoto A, Arai K, Kumakura A, et al. Effect of canagliflozin on the overall clinical state including insulin resistance in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;149:140-6.

[15] Sasaki T, Sugawara M, Fukuda M. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor-induced changes in body composition and simultaneous changes in metabolic profile: 52-week prospective LIGHT (Luseogliflozin: the Components of Weight Loss in Japanese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus) Study. J Diabetes Investig. 2019;10:108-17.

[16] Kamei S, Iwamoto M, Kameyama M, Shimoda M, Kinoshita T, Obata A, et al. Effect of Tofogliflozin on Body Composition and Glycemic Control in Japanese Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Res. 2018;2018:6470137.

[17] Sugiyama S, Jinnouchi H, Kurinami N, Hieshima K, Yoshida A, Jinnouchi K, et al.Dapagliflozin Reduces Fat Mass without Affecting Muscle Mass in Type 2 Diabetes. J

Atheroscler Thromb. 2018;25:467-76.

[18] Inoue H, Morino K, Ugi S, Tanaka-Mizuno S, Fuse K, Miyazawa I, et al. Ipragliflozin, a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor, reduces bodyweight and fat mass, but not muscle mass, in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients treated with insulin: A randomized clinical trial. J Diabetes Investig. 2019;10:1012-21.

[19] Moszak M, Klupczyńska A, Kanikowska A, Kokot Z, Zawada A, Grzymisławska M, et al. The influence of a 3-week body mass reduction program on the metabolic parameters and free amino acid profiles in adult Polish people with obesity. Adv Clin Exp Med. 2018;27:749-57.

[20] Williamson E, Moore DR. A Muscle-Centric Perspective on Intermittent Fasting: A Suboptimal Dietary Strategy for Supporting Muscle Protein Remodeling and Muscle Mass?Front Nutr. 2021;8:640621.

[21] Kappel BA, Lehrke M, Schütt K, Artati A, Adamski J, Lebherz C, et al. Effect of Empagliflozin on the Metabolic Signature of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease. Circulation. United States2017. p. 969-72.

[22] Ye Q, Danzer CF, Fuchs A, Wolfrum C, Rudin M. Hepatic lipid composition differs between ob/ob and ob/+ control mice as determined by using in vivo localized proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. MAGMA. 2012;25:381-9.

[23] Bollard ME, Garrod S, Holmes E, Lindon JC, Humpfer E, Spraul M, et al. Highresolution (1)H and (1)H-(13)C magic angle spinning NMR spectroscopy of rat liver. Magn Reson Med. 2000;44:201-7.

[24] Bolinder J, Ljunggren Ö, Johansson L, Wilding J, Langkilde AM, Sjöström CD, et al. Dapagliflozin maintains glycaemic control while reducing weight and body fat mass over 2 years in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2014;16:159-69. [25] Inoue H, Morino K, Ugi S, Tanaka-Mizuno S, Fuse K, Miyazawa I, et al. Ipragliflozin, a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor, reduces bodyweight and fat mass, but not muscle mass, in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients treated with insulin: A randomized clinical trial. J Diabetes Investig. 2018.

[26] Finck BN. Targeting Metabolism, Insulin Resistance, and Diabetes to Treat Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. Diabetes. 2018;67:2485-93.

[27] Reeder SB, Cruite I, Hamilton G, Sirlin CB. Quantitative Assessment of Liver Fat with Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Spectroscopy. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2011;34:729-49.
[28] Szczepaniak LS, Nurenberg P, Leonard D, Browning JD, Reingold JS, Grundy S, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy to measure hepatic triglyceride content: prevalence of hepatic steatosis in the general population. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2005;288:E462-8.

[29] Befroy DE, Shulman GI. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies of human metabolism. Diabetes. 2011;60:1361-9.

[30] Noureddin M, Lam J, Peterson MR, Middleton M, Hamilton G, Le TA, et al. Utility of magnetic resonance imaging versus histology for quantifying changes in liver fat in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease trials. Hepatology. 2013;58:1930-40.

[31] Shen W, Chen J, Gantz M, Velasquez G, Punyanitya M, Heymsfield SB. A single MRI slice does not accurately predict visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue changes during weight loss. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012;20:2458-63

[32] Blonde L, Stenlöfe K, Fung A, Xie J, Canovatchel W, Meininger G. Effects of canagliflozin on body weight and body composition in patients with type 2 diabetes over 104 weeks. Postgrad Med. 2016; 128:371-80.

[33] Giesecke K, Magnusson I, Ahlberg M, Hagenfeldt L, Wahren J. Protein and amino acid metabolism during early starvation as reflected by excretion of urea and methylhistidines.

Metabolism. 1989;38:1196-200.

[34] Ross BD, Hems R, Krebs HA. The rate of gluconeogenesis from various precursors in the perfused rat liver. Biochem J. 1967;102:942-51.

[35] Merovci A, Solis-Herrera C, Daniele G, Eldor R, Fiorentino TV, Tripathy D, et al. Dapagliflozin improves muscle insulin sensitivity but enhances endogenous glucose production. J Clin Invest. 2014;124:509-14.

[36] Felig P, Marliss E, Cahill GF, Jr. Plasma amino acid levels and insulin secretion in obesity. N Engl J Med. 1969;281:811-6.

[37] Suhre K, Meisinger C, Döring A, Altmaier E, Belcredi P, Gieger C, et al. Metabolic footprint of diabetes: a multiplatform metabolomics study in an epidemiological setting.PLoS One. 2010;5:e13953.

[38] Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Cheng S, Rhee EP, McCabe E, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nat Med. 2011;17:448-53.

[39] Würtz P, Tiainen M, Mäkinen VP, Kangas AJ, Soininen P, Saltevo J, et al. Circulating metabolite predictors of glycemia in middle-aged men and women. Diabetes Care. 2012;35:1749-56.

[40] Nair KS, Garrow JS, Ford C, Mahler RF, Halliday D. Effect of poor diabetic control and obesity on whole body protein metabolism in man. Diabetologia. 1983;25:400-3.

[41] She P, Olson KC, Kadota Y, Inukai A, Shimomura Y, Hoppel CL, et al. Leucine and protein metabolism in obese Zucker rats. PLoS One. 2013;8:e59443.

[42] Herman MA, She P, Peroni OD, Lynch CJ, Kahn BB. Adipose tissue branched chain amino acid (BCAA) metabolism modulates circulating BCAA levels. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:11348-56.

[43] She P, Van Horn C, Reid T, Hutson SM, Cooney RN, Lynch CJ. Obesity-related elevations in plasma leucine are associated with alterations in enzymes involved in branchedchain amino acid metabolism. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E1552-63. [44] Bletsa E, Filippas-Dekouan S, Kostara C, Dafopoulos P, Dimou A, Pappa E, et al. Effect of Dapagliflozin on Urine Metabolome in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021;106:1269-83.



Body mass change (kg)



		Con	trol gro	oup	Dapagliflozin group						
	Change in body mass	Change in body fat mass (DEX)	Change in lean mass (DEX)	Change in fat mass (BIA)	Change in muscle mass (BIA)	Change in body mass	Change in body fat mass (DEX)	Change in lean mass (DEX)	Change in fat mass (BIA)	Change in muscle mass (BIA)	
Threonine											
Valine											
Methionine											
Isoleucine											
Leucine											
Phenylalanine											
Histidine											
Tryptophan											
Lysine											
Asparagine											
Aspartic acid											
Serine											
Glutamic acid											
Glutamine											
Proline											
Glycine											
Alanine											
Tyrosine											
Arginine											
Cystine											
Taurine											
Citrulline											
Ornithine											
α-Aminobutyric acid											
Total AAs											
Essential AAs											
Non-essential AAs											
Total BCAAs											

correlation coefficient

-0.8

Control group

Dapagliflozin group





Supplemental Fig. S1. Changes in body mass over the study period Values are least square mean \pm SE. The *P*-values are for comparisons of the change in the Dapa group (black) and the Con group (gray), according to mixed model analysis. * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* <0.01.



Supplemental Fig. S2. Changes in body composition, measured using DEXA Values are mean \pm SD.



Supplemental Fig. S3. Segmental changes in body composition, measured using DEXA and BIA (a) Change in fat mass measured with DEXA and BIA after 24 weeks of intervention.. (b) Change in leanmass measured with DEXA and muscle mass measured with BIA after 24 weeks intervention.Values are mean \pm SD, The P-values show comparisons of changes between the group receiving add-on Dapagliflozin(Dapa) and the group receiving no additional treatment(Con) using ANCOVA adjusted for sex. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.



Supplemental Fig.S4. Changes in muscle mass over the study period Values are least square mean \pm SE. The *P*-values are for comparisons of the change in the Dapa group (black) and the Con group (gray), according to mixed model analysis. * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* <0.01.



Supplemental Fig. S5. Changes in fasting ketone body over the study period Values are least square mean \pm SE. The *P*-values are for comparisons of the change in the

Dapa group (black) and the Con group (gray), according to mixed model analysis. * P < 0.05.

Supplemental Table S1

Major adverse events during the study

Events			Number of	patients, n (%)
	Con group (n=24)	Dapa group (n=26)	Con group (n=24)	Dapa group (n=26)
Any adverse event	41	51	16 (67)	22 (85)
Hypoglycemia	6	7	4 (17)	6 (23)
Exanthema/ Skin itch	7	4	6 (25)	4 (15)
Muscle / joint pain	6	3	5 (21)	3 (12)
Dehydration	4	6	4 (17)	5 (19)
Upper respiratory infection	3	6	3 (13)	5 (19)
Urinary tract infection	1	3	1 (4)	2 (8)
Orthostatic hypotension	0	3	0	3 (12)
Genital itch Candidal vaginitis	0	0	0	0

Hypoglycemia, dehydration, urinary tract infection, exanthema, and orthostatic hypotension were the pre-defined major adverse events.

11		0 1							01	
	Control group				Dapa group				Difference in change	Р
										value
	(OAD)				(OAD + Dapagli	flozin 5 mg)				
	Baseline	Week 24	Change	P value	Baseline	Week 24	Change	Р	(95% CI)	
	$(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD})$	$(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD})$	$(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD})$		$(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD})$	$(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD})$	$(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD})$	value		
Threonine	126.28 ± 22.18	130.80 ± 26.28	4.53 ± 20.53	0.291	116.61 ± 27.30	110.90 ± 18.56	-5.71 ± 22.19	0.201	10.57 (-1.62, 22.77)	0.088
(nmol/mL)										
Valine (nmol/mL)	238.70 ± 27.27	240.24 ± 25.53	1.53 ± 27.50	0.787	246.83 ± 42.93	254.70 ± 43.39	7.88 ± 29.18	0.181	-6.89 (-22.97, 9.19)	0.393
Methionine	24.50 ± 34.0	25.40 ± 4.88	0.91 ± 3.61	0.228	23.42 ± 3.61	23.59 ± 3.25	0.18 ± 2.40	0.710	0.74 (-1.01, 2.49)	0.401
(nmol/mL)										
Isoleucine	68.30 ± 12.27	69.43 ± 11.77	1.13 ± 7.89	0.492	70.98 ± 15.98	72.33 ± 16.73	1.35 ± 9.85	0.490	-0.24 (-5.40, 4.93)	0.927
(nmol/mL)										
Leucine	128.55 ± 19.41	130.95 ± 19.88	2.40 ± 16.73	0.491	136.15 ± 27.80	142.47 ± 24.86	6.32 ± 16.53	0.063	-3.98 (-13.56, 5.61)	0.409
(nmol/mL)										
Phenylalanine	55.62 ± 6.74	54.823 ± 5.99	$\textbf{-0.79} \pm 5.35$	0.476	56.81 ± 11.00	57.53 ± 10.42	0.72 ± 4.62	0.435	-1.45 (-4.30, 1.41)	0.313
(nmol/mL)										
Histidine	76.69 ± 8.34	76.81 ± 10.15	0.13 ± 7.57	0.936	72.74 ± 10.78	73.46 ± 8.89	0.72 ± 6.48	0.575	-0.53 (-4.56, 3.50)	0.794
(nmol/mL)										
Tryptophan	48.11 ± 7.55	48.95 ± 6.65	0.84 ± 5.25	0.440	50.02 ± 9.40	49.33 ± 9.66	$\textbf{-0.70} \pm 4.77$	0.464	1.55 (-1.34, 4.43)	0.286

Supplement Table S2. Changes in plasma amino acid concentrations between baseline and week 24 in each treatment group

(amol/mL)193.14 \pm 31.33200.55 \pm 30.737.41 \pm 23.680.139186.80 \pm 19.16188.47 \pm 19.681.67 \pm 18.630.6525.38 (-6.68, 17.43)0.33Asparagine43.73 \pm 6.3945.62 \pm 7.771.89 \pm 6.650.17842.2 \pm 6.7443.25 \pm 6.471.04 \pm 4.170.2160.88 (-2.28, 4.05)0.55(amol/mL)											
Lysine (nmol/mL)193.14 \pm 31.33200.55 \pm 30.737.41 \pm 23.680.139186.80 \pm 19.16188.47 \pm 19.681.67 \pm 18.630.6525.38 (-6.68, 17.43)0.33Asparagine43.73 \pm 6.3945.62 \pm 7.771.89 \pm 6.650.17842.2 \pm 6.7443.25 \pm 6.471.04 \pm 4.170.2160.88 (-2.28, 4.05)0.55(nmol/mL)Aspartic acid3.95 \pm 1.763.40 \pm 1.50-0.55 \pm 2.070.2063.62 \pm 1.173.31 \pm 1.29-0.31 \pm 1.420.273-0.24 (-1.25, 0.78)0.66(mol/mL)Serine (nmol/mL)107.98 \pm 18.81112.13 \pm 20.904.15 \pm 9.700.047104.66 \pm 16.7499.38 \pm 15.62-5.27 \pm 11.220.0249.61 (3.63, 15.59)0.06Glutamic acid64.98 \pm 20.8159.85 \pm 22.61-5.12 \pm 24.550.31762.01 \pm 23.0558.28 \pm 19.50-3.80 \pm 15.090.211-1.07 (-12.61, 10.48)0.83(nmol/mL)Proline176.74 \pm 2.98173.75 \pm 55.3-2.99 \pm 2.8390.611167.23 \pm 2.23169.95 \pm 46.582.72 \pm 2.0580.506-5.72 (-19.92, 8.47)0.42(nmol/mL)195.98 \pm 69.45199.74 \pm 49.013.76 \pm 2.1890.3903.41 (-10.61, 17.43)0.62(nmol/mL)(mol/mL)	(nmol/mL)										
Asparagine 43.73 ± 6.39 45.62 ± 7.77 1.89 ± 6.65 0.178 42.2 ± 6.74 43.25 ± 6.47 1.04 ± 4.17 0.216 $0.88 (-2.28, 4.05)$ 0.55 (mol/mL)Asparite acid 3.95 ± 1.76 3.40 ± 1.50 0.55 ± 2.07 0.206 3.62 ± 1.17 3.31 ± 1.29 -0.31 ± 1.42 0.273 $-0.24 < (-1.25, 0.78)$ 0.66 (mol/mL)Serine (nmol/mL) 107.98 ± 18.81 112.13 ± 20.90 4.15 ± 9.70 0.047 104.66 ± 16.74 99.38 ± 15.62 -5.27 ± 11.22 0.024 $9.61 (3.63, 15.59)$ 0.066 Glutamic acid 64.98 ± 20.81 59.85 ± 22.61 -5.12 ± 24.55 0.317 62.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.09 0.211 $-1.07 (-12.61, 10.48)$ 0.88 (mol/mL) U (mol/mL) U (mol/mL) U (mol/mL) U (mol/mL) U (mol/mL) U (mol/mL) U U U U U U U	Lysine (nmol/mL)	193.14 ± 31.33	200.55 ± 30.73	7.41 ± 23.68	0.139	186.80 ± 19.16	188.47 ± 19.68	1.67 ± 18.63	0.652	5.38 (-6.68, 17.43)	0.374
(nonl/nL) Aspartic acid 3.95 ± 1.76 3.40 ± 1.50 -0.55 ± 2.07 0.206 3.62 ± 1.17 3.31 ± 1.29 -0.31 ± 1.42 0.273 -0.24 (-1.25, 0.78) 0.64 (nonl/nL) 107.98 ± 18.81 112.13 ± 20.90 4.15 ± 9.70 0.047 104.66 ± 16.74 99.38 ± 15.62 -5.27 ± 11.22 0.024 9.61 (3.63, 15.59) 0.00 Glutamic acid 64.98 ± 20.81 59.85 ± 22.61 -5.12 ± 24.55 0.317 62.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.09 0.21 -1.07 (-12.61, 10.48) 0.88 (mol/nL)	Asparagine	43.73 ± 6.39	45.62 ± 7.77	1.89 ± 6.65	0.178	42.2 ± 6.74	43.25 ± 6.47	1.04 ± 4.17	0.216	0.88 (-2.28, 4.05)	0.577
Aspartie acid 3.95 ± 1.76 3.40 ± 1.50 -0.55 ± 2.07 0.206 3.62 ± 1.17 3.31 ± 1.29 -0.31 ± 1.42 0.273 $-0.24 (-1.25, 0.78)$ 0.64 (mol/mL) 107.98 ± 18.81 112.13 ± 20.90 4.15 ± 9.70 0.047 104.66 ± 16.74 99.38 ± 15.62 -5.27 ± 11.22 0.024 $9.61 (3.63, 15.59)$ 0.006 Glutamic acid 64.98 ± 20.81 59.85 ± 22.61 -5.12 ± 24.55 0.317 62.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.09 0.211 $-1.07 (-12.61, 10.48)$ 0.836 (mol/mL) -0.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.05 0.045 $30.96 (-0.83, 62.74)$ 0.026 (mol/mL) -0.01 ± 24.298 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 $-5.72 (-19.92, 8.47)$ 0.426 (mol/mL) $-0.01 \pm 4.42.98$ 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 $-5.72 (-19.92, 8.47)$ 0.426 (mol/mL) -0.01 ± 0.012 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 $3.41 (-10.61, 17.43)$ 0.626 (mol/mL) -0.25 ± 10.523 42.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 $-6.17 (-42.58, 30.25)$ 0.726 (mol/mL) -0.21 ± 0.98 6.52 ± 12.97 $6.3.6 \pm 11.56$ -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21	(nmol/mL)										
(nonl/mL) 107.98 ± 18.81 112.13 ± 20.90 4.15 ± 9.70 0.047 104.66 ± 16.74 99.38 ± 15.62 -5.27 ± 11.22 0.024 9.61 $(3.63, 15.59)$ 0.047 Glutamic acid 64.98 ± 20.81 59.85 ± 22.61 -5.12 ± 24.55 0.317 62.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.09 0.211 -1.07 $(-12.61, 10.48)$ 0.832 (mol/mL) -3.00 ± 15.99 578.80 ± 95.73 12.00 ± 64.00 0.368 537.33 ± 74.99 518.50 ± 69.77 -18.84 ± 45.55 0.455 30.96 $(-0.83, 62.74)$ 0.612 (mol/mL) -3.00 ± 15.99 578.80 ± 95.73 12.00 ± 64.00 0.368 537.33 ± 74.99 518.50 ± 69.77 -18.84 ± 45.55 0.455 30.96 $(-0.83, 62.74)$ 0.625 (mol/mL) -3.00 ± 15.99 578.80 ± 95.73 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 $(-19.92, 8.47)$ 0.425 (mol/mL) -3.06 ± 15.99 28.52 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 $(-10.61, 17.43)$ 0.625 (mol/mL) -3.02 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 $(42.58, 30.25)$ 0.726 (mol/mL) -3.95 ± 10.52 42.61 ± 14.05 5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 $(42.58, 30.25)$ 0.726	Aspartic acid	3.95 ± 1.76	3.40 ± 1.50	$\textbf{-0.55} \pm 2.07$	0.206	3.62 ± 1.17	3.31 ± 1.29	-0.31 ± 1.42	0.273	-0.24 (-1.25, 0.78)	0.640
Serie (nmol/mL) 107.98 ± 18.81 112.13 ± 20.90 4.15 ± 9.70 0.047 104.66 ± 16.74 99.38 ± 15.62 -5.27 ± 11.22 0.024 $9.61(3.63, 15.59)$ 0.006 Glutamic acid 64.98 ± 20.81 59.85 ± 22.61 -5.12 ± 24.55 0.317 62.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.09 0.211 $-1.07(-12.61, 10.48)$ 0.856 (nmol/mL) $-1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	(nmol/mL)										
Glutamic acid 64.98 ± 20.81 59.85 ± 22.61 -5.12 ± 24.55 0.317 62.01 ± 23.05 58.28 ± 19.50 -3.80 ± 15.09 0.211 -1.07 (-12.61 , 10.48) 0.85 (nmol/mL) Glutamine 566.80 ± 79.95 578.80 ± 95.73 12.00 ± 64.00 0.368 537.33 ± 74.99 518.50 ± 69.77 -18.84 ± 45.55 0.045 30.96 ($-0.83, 62.74$) 0.62 (nmol/mL) Proline 176.74 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 ($-19.92, 8.47$) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Proline 176.74 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 ($-19.92, 8.47$) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Proline 198.93 ± 54.18 206.29 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 ($-10.61, 17.43$) 0.62 (nmol/mL) Proline 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85	Serine (nmol/mL)	107.98 ± 18.81	112.13 ± 20.90	4.15 ± 9.70	0.047	104.66 ± 16.74	99.38 ± 15.62	$\textbf{-5.27} \pm 11.22$	0.024	9.61 (3.63, 15.59)	0.002
(nmol/mL) Glutamine 566.80 ± 79.95 578.80 ± 95.73 12.00 ± 64.00 0.368 537.33 ± 74.99 518.50 ± 69.77 -18.84 ± 45.55 0.045 30.96 ($-0.83, 62.74$) 0.612 (nmol/mL) 76.74 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 ($-19.92, 8.47$) 0.42 (nmol/mL) 7.67 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 ($-19.92, 8.47$) 0.42 (nmol/mL) 7.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 ($-19.92, 8.47$) 0.42 (nmol/mL) 7.85 ± 105.23 206.29 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 ($-10.61, 17.43$) 0.62 (nmol/mL) 7.78 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.96 -6.17 ($-42.58, 30.25$) 0.72	Glutamic acid	64.98 ± 20.81	59.85 ± 22.61	-5.12 ± 24.55	0.317	62.01 ± 23.05	58.28 ± 19.50	$\textbf{-3.80} \pm 15.09$	0.211	-1.07 (-12.61, 10.48)	0.854
Glutamine 566.80 ± 79.95 578.80 ± 95.73 12.00 ± 64.00 0.368 537.33 ± 74.99 518.50 ± 69.77 -18.84 ± 45.55 0.045 30.96 $(-0.83, 62.74)$ 0.056 (nmol/mL) Proline 176.74 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 $(-19.92, 8.47)$ 0.42 (nmol/mL) Image: Constraint of the con	(nmol/mL)										
(nmol/mL) Proline 176.74 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 (-19.92, 8.47) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Glycine 198.93 ± 54.18 206.29 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 (-10.61, 17.43) 0.62 (nmol/mL) Alanine 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 (42.58 , 30.25) 0.73 (nmol/mL) Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 ($-5.71, 2.44$) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 ($-3.82, 7.07$) 0.55	Glutamine	566.80 ± 79.95	578.80 ± 95.73	12.00 ± 64.00	0.368	537.33 ± 74.99	518.50 ± 69.77	$\textbf{-18.84} \pm \textbf{45.55}$	0.045	30.96 (-0.83, 62.74)	0.056
Proline 176.74 ± 42.98 173.75 ± 45.3 -2.99 ± 28.39 0.611 167.23 ± 42.32 169.95 ± 46.58 2.72 ± 20.58 0.506 -5.72 (- 19.92 , 8.47) 0.42 (nmol/mL)Glycine 198.93 ± 54.18 206.29 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 (- 10.61 , 17.43) 0.62 (nmol/mL)Alanine 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 (- 42.58 , 30.25) 0.72 (nmol/mL)Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 (- 5.71 , 2.44) 0.42 (nmol/mL)Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 (- 3.82 , 7.07) 0.55	(nmol/mL)										
(nmol/mL) Glycine 198.93 ± 54.18 206.29 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 (-10.61, 17.43) 0.62 (nmol/mL) Alanine 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 (-42.58, 30.25) 0.73 (nmol/mL) Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 (-5.71, 2.44) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 (-3.82, 7.07) 0.55	Proline	176.74 ± 42.98	173.75 ± 45.3	$\textbf{-2.99} \pm 28.39$	0.611	167.23 ± 42.32	169.95 ± 46.58	2.72 ± 20.58	0.506	-5.72 (-19.92, 8.47)	0.421
Glycine 198.93 ± 54.18 206.29 ± 51.77 7.36 ± 26.84 0.192 195.98 ± 69.45 199.74 ± 49.01 3.76 ± 21.89 0.390 3.41 (- 10.61 , 17.43) 0.62 (nmol/mL) 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 (-42.58 , 30.25) 0.73 (nmol/mL) $7yrosine$ 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 (-5.71 , 2.44) 0.42 (nmol/mL) 75.24 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 ($-3.82, 7.07$) 0.55	(nmol/mL)										
(nmol/mL) Alanine 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 (-42.58, 30.25) 0.73 (nmol/mL) Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 (-5.71, 2.44) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 (-3.82, 7.07) 0.55	Glycine	198.93 ± 54.18	206.29 ± 51.77	7.36 ± 26.84	0.192	195.98 ± 69.45	199.74 ± 49.01	3.76 ± 21.89	0.390	3.41 (-10.61, 17.43)	0.627
Alanine 427.85 ± 105.23 422.61 ± 144.05 -5.24 ± 68.18 0.710 400.39 ± 73.84 400.33 ± 85.94 -0.05 ± 59.66 0.996 -6.17 $(-42.58, 30.25)$ 0.732 (nmol/mL)Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 $(-5.71, 2.44)$ 0.422 (nmol/mL)Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 $(-3.82, 7.07)$ 0.55	(nmol/mL)										
(nmol/mL) Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 (-5.71, 2.44) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 (-3.82, 7.07) 0.55	Alanine	427.85 ± 105.23	422.61 ± 144.05	$\textbf{-5.24} \pm 68.18$	0.710	400.39 ± 73.84	400.33 ± 85.94	$\textbf{-0.05} \pm 59.66$	0.996	-6.17 (-42.58, 30.25)	0.735
Tyrosine 65.20 ± 12.97 63.26 ± 11.56 -1.95 ± 7.29 0.204 58.73 ± 16.00 58.52 ± 15.28 -0.21 ± 6.98 0.878 -1.64 (-5.71, 2.44) 0.42 (nmol/mL) Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 (- 3.82 , 7.07) 0.55	(nmol/mL)										
(nmol/mL) Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 1.63 (-3.82, 7.07) 0.55	Tyrosine	65.20 ± 12.97	63.26 ± 11.56	$\textbf{-}1.95\pm7.29$	0.204	58.73 ± 16.00	58.52 ± 15.28	$\textbf{-0.21} \pm 6.98$	0.878	-1.64 (-5.71, 2.44)	0.423
Arginine 82.72 ± 15.18 85.06 ± 16.08 2.33 ± 10.45 0.285 75.24 ± 12.11 75.85 ± 13.81 0.61 ± 8.53 0.720 $1.63 (-3.82, 7.07)$ 0.55 ± 13.81	(nmol/mL)										
	Arginine	82.72 ± 15.18	85.06 ± 16.08	2.33 ± 10.45	0.285	75.24 ± 12.11	75.85 ± 13.81	0.61 ± 8.53	0.720	1.63 (-3.82, 7.07)	0.551

(nmol/mL)										
Cystine	32.35 ± 14.61	32.68 ± 14.89	0.33 ± 8.90	0.858	30.33 ± 14.33	29.83 ± 16.34	-0.51 ± 12.36	0.836	0.50 (-5.46, 6.46)	0.868
(nmol/mL)										
Taurine	70.72 ± 19.4	61.91 ± 17.55	-8.81 ± 25.14	0.099	68.74 ± 18.47	69.05 ± 19.55	0.31 ± 24.80	0.949	-9.10 (-23.48, 5.29)	0.210
(nmol/mL)										
Citrulline	27.85 ± 9.68	28.98 ± 9.61	1.13 ± 5.33	0.310	24.22 ± 6.43	25.77 ± 7.81	1.55 ± 5.31	0.149	-0.43 (-3.49, 2.64)	0.781
(nmol/mL)										
Ornithine	54.24 ± 13.14	53.18 ± 9.05	-1.06 ± 8.64	0.553	46.70 ± 10.94	47.08 ± 8.94	0.39 ± 5.54	0.723	-1.45 (-5.549 2.70)	0.485
(nmol/mL)										
α-Aminobutyric	20.86 ± 4.28	21.34 ± 7.80	0.48 ± 7.54	0.758	20.69 ± 6.95	21.76 ± 6.83	1.07 ± 4.46	0.232	-0.62 (-4.15, 2.70)	0.725
acid (nmol/mL)										
Total AA	2890.0 ± 211.6	2912.2 ± 261.0	22.2 ± 208.11	0.606	2783.2 ± 242.5	2775.7 ± 232.6	$\textbf{-7.49} \pm 135.44$	0.780	28.15 (-71.82, 128.13)	0.574
(nmol/mL)										
Essential AA	959.9 ± 85.0	978.0 ± 85.7	18.1 ± 84.5	0.306	960.3 ± 121.6	972.8 ± 105.8	12.5 ± 69.5	0.371	5.16 (-39.17, 49.49)	0.816
(nmol/mL)										
Non-essential AA	1930.2 ± 165.6	1934.3 ± 217.0	4.13 ± 158.79	0.900	1822.9 ± 152.9	1803.0 ± 153.4	$\textbf{-19.9} \pm 98.4$	0.312	22.99 (-52.20, 98.19)	0.541
(nmol/mL)										
Total BCAA	435.6 ± 56.3	440.6 ± 52.7	5.05 ± 46.22	0.598	454.0 ± 81.7	469.5 ± 82.4	15.55 ± 48.83	0.117	-11.10 (-38.33, 16.13)	0.416
(nmol/mL)										

Values are mean \pm SD for continuous variables at baseline, 24 weeks, and for the change during this period. The least-square mean (95%)

confidence interval) is shown for the difference in this change between the groups. n = 24 for the control group and n = 26 for the Dapa group. The *P* values indicate the results of comparisons of the changes between the Dapa and control groups, using analysis of covariance adjusted for sex.

AA: amino acids, BCAA: branched chain amino acids.

Appendix S1

Treatment guidelines

In both the Dapa and Con groups, the target of treatment is to achieve an HbA1c below 7.0%, without inducing hypoglycemia. During the treatment period, diet and exercise management is to be continued as before.

Reduce the dose of or withdraw SUs for 2 weeks after the initiation of dapagliflozin administration, in order to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia associated with concomitant SU use.

Dapagliflozin group

1) Administer dapagliflozin 5 mg once daily orally after breakfast. In order to prevent hypoglycemia, reduce the dosage of SUs at the initiation of dapagliflozin administration.

For those patients taking >2 mg/day of glimepiride, reduce the dose to \leq 2 mg/day.

For those patients taking >1.25 mg/day of glibenclamide, reduce the dose to \leq 1.25 mg/day.

For those patients taking >40 mg/day of gliclazide, reduce the dose to ≤40 mg/day.

The discontinuation of SUs is at the physician's discretion.

2) For hypoglycemia: when blood glucose <70 mg/dl or when hypoglycemic symptoms are strongly suspected, instruct the patient to consume carbohydrates promptly. If the physician frequently diagnoses hypoglycemia, reduce the dose of or discontinue oral agents in the following order: SUs, glinides, thiazolidines, alpha-GIs, metformin, then DPP-4 inhibitors.

3) If a physician identifies a rapid or marked reduction in HbA1c, the dosage of oral agents should be reduced according to the treatment guidelines above.

4) If a physician identifies worsening blood glucose control, add oral agents to the therapeutic regimen according to the following treatment guidelines.

Determine if the patient has an acute metabolic disorder by interview, physical examination, and blood gas analysis, etc. The physician should decide whether participation should be discontinued and/or insulin therapy started. If there were reductions in the doses of medication or discontinuation at the initiation of the study or during dapagliflozin administration, it is permissible to resume administration of these drugs. The maximum dosage is the dose at the time of patient registration. The order of administration resumption should be determined by the physician so that no hypoglycemia occurs and an HbA1c <7.0% can be achieved. Even if the conditions above are met and hyperglycemia or a high HbA1c is present, the dapagliflozin dosage should not be increased during the intervention period.

Control group

1) Oral antidiabetic agents may be changed to achieve an HbA1c of <7.0%, without causing hypoglycemia, according to the following treatment guidelines.

2) For hypoglycemia: when blood glucose <70 mg/dl or when hypoglycemic symptoms are strongly suspected, instruct the patient to consume carbohydrates promptly. If the physician frequently diagnoses hypoglycemia, reduce the dose of or discontinue oral agents in the following order: SUs, glinides, thiazolidines, alpha-GIs, metformin, then DPP-4 inhibitors.

3) If a deterioration in blood glucose control is identified (an increase in blood glucose or HbA1c), add an oral agent according to the following treatment guidelines.

Determine if the patient has an acute metabolic disorder by interview, physical examination, and blood gas analysis, etc. Determine whether participation should be started with insulin therapy. If a DPP-4 inhibitor has not been administered, add one. If a DPP-4 inhibitor has already been administered, after evaluating the contraindications and any history of adverse reactions to metformin, start this drug and increase the dose in 500 mg or 750 mg steps, up to 1,500 mg. The dose can be increased to 2,250 mg at the physician's discretion. When a DPP-4 inhibitor and a metformin dose \geq 1,500 mg have already been administered, or these drugs cannot be administered due to contraindications or adverse drug reactions, add an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor. If an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor has already been administered in three divided doses per day.

When a DPP-4 inhibitor, metformin $\geq 1,500$ mg, and an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor (three tablets administered in three divided doses per day) have already been administered or these drugs cannot be administered due to contraindications or adverse drug reactions, consider the addition of a thiazolidinedione at 15 mg/day if there is no history of adverse drug reaction or bladder cancer. Do not increase the thiazolidinedione dosage except if more than 30 mg has already been administered. When a DPP-4 inhibitor, metformin $\geq 1,500$ mg, an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor (three tablets administered in three divided doses per day) and a thiazolidinedione have already been administered, or these drugs cannot be administered due to contraindications or adverse drug reactions, consider the administration of a glinide. If any glinide has already been administered at a dose of two or fewer tablets/day, increase the dosage to three tablets/day. Do not use sulfonylureas unless they were already being used at the time of enrollment.

The treatment described above is to be continued for 24 weeks. If the discontinuation criteria are met, participation should be discontinued at that time and any necessary follow-up procedures should be implemented.

Appendix S2

Shiga University of Medical Science Anti-Diabetic Drugs Intervention Trial-2 (SUMS-ADDIT-2) Research group

Hisatomi Arima, Yukihiro Fujita, Kayo Horibe, Osamu Horikawa, Shogo Ida, Hideka Inoue, Ryuta Itoh, Hirotaka Iwasaki, Saki Kobayashi, Hideto Kojima, Keiko Kondo, Hiroshi Maegawa, Katsuyuki Miura, Itsuko Miyazawa, Katsutaro Morino, Kiyoshi Murata, Koichiro Murata, Keiko Nakagawa, Nobuhiko Nakaizumi, Kenichi Nemoto, Kimihiro Nishimura, Seiichiro Ogaku, Natsuko Ohashi, Takashi Okada, Daisuke Sato, Osamu Sekine, Sachiko Tanaka, Akiko Tsuji, Chisato Tsuji, Satoshi Ugi, Yukako Yamamoto, Masahiro Yoshimura

Safety committee: Motozumi Okamoto (Otsu Red Cross Hospital) and Takashi Nomiyama (Fukuoka University).

CRO: Center for Clinical Research and Advanced Medicine in the SUMS. Chief: Hiromu Kutsumi; Data managers: Teruko Ueda and Shoji Momokawa; Study manager: Mitsuru Kawanishi. Statistical assistance: DOT World Co., Ltd.

Clinical data measurement: SRL Inc. and CMIC Pharma Science.

DEXA measurement: The staff of the Department of Radiology in the SUMS Hospital.